

INTER-PLUGIN COMMUNICATION BREAKING OUT OF THE CHANNEL STRIP

PETER SCIRI



- (Who?)
- Why?
- What?
- How?
- Limitations



Who?

sonible | Graz, Austria



We make smart plugins

sonible

- Processing context: only a channel's samples
- Am I alone?
- Who else is around?
- What do they do?





Not that smart, huh?





Inter-Plugin Communication



Why do Inter-Plugin Communication?



- A mix is more than just the sum of its components
 - Good mixing requires observing a multitude of channels at once
- Channel strip isolation
 - Requires working with multiple instances at once
 - Prevents smart decisions



- One instance view shows multiple channel spectra at once
- No need for multiple windows
- Levels aligned 🎉
- Clashing frequencies easier to identify





- Spectral mixing = retain/remove specific frequency regions to focus on instruments
- Depends on source types
 (=importance) and acutal signal
 (=presence)





- Depth impression in mixing:
 - Proportion of direct sound, ER and tail crucial
 - Latency of direct sound (=time alignment)
- Different but interdependent settings per channel





How do we get this information?



What is Inter-Plugin Communication?





- Inter-Plugin Communication enables a single plugin instance to
 - Discover other plugin instances
 - Open communication channels
 - Send/receive information about channel strip (audio content, parameter values, etc...)
 - Create logical groups



1. Service Discovery

- See and be seen: advertise willingness to mingle
- One-To-Many => Broadcast
- 2. Communication Channels
 - One-To-One, Bi-Directional
 - Agnostic of transmitted data
 - (Auth, Encryption)



Node or Entity

- A single instance of a plugin
- Possibly even separate Processor and View
- Advertises its
 - existence and identity
 - supported comm channels (=endpoints, protocols)







• Swarm

- Entierty of all discoverable Nodes
- Each Node keeps register of other

Nodes





• Group

- A subset of Entities within the Swarm sharing one or more properties
- Group Leader
 - An instance within the Group elected by its members
 - Some special duties/capabilities





- Managed/Brokered System
 - Capabilities centralized:
 - One node knows more than the others/has more responsibilities
 - Single truth: the broker is always right
 - Communication can be routed through broker (overhead) or Peer-To-Peer
 - Single point of failure -> Lifetime



Node

Node



- Distributed System
 - Everyone can do everything
 - No absolute truth
 - All nodes must agree on truth
 - Decision making mechanism required (quorum!)
 - If a node disappears another one can fill in







How do Inter-Plugin Communication?

Scope



- Beyond instance boundaries: V
 - e.g. EQ on Kick & Bass
- Beyond process boundaries:
 - e.g. sandboxed plugin processes
- Beyond machine boundaries: 🤨
 - Might make sense?

.:. .sonjble

- Static Memory
 - E.g. Singleton
- Local Sockets
 - Unix Domain Sockets
 - Windows Named Pipes

• IP





 Beyond instance boundaries: **Static Memory** • e.g. EQ on Kick & Bass Beyond process boundaries: Sockets • e.g. sandboxed plugin processes • Beyond machine boundaries: 😐 IP • Might make sense?



- Maximum scope desired => IP
 - UDP beacon
 - Broadcast on local network or multicast on localhost
- Protocol requirements
 - Identification (UUID, IP, name, type, (host) process, endpoints, groups)
 - Defined set of messages (Hello, Bye, Ping, Elect, Leader,...)
 - Arbitrary property sets according to application needs



• Filter

- Selectively include/exclude Nodes by type, process, etc
- Grouping/Leadership
 - Anyone can create or join a group
 - Only a group leader can define Group properties (e.g. name, colour, etc)
 - Election algorithm e.g. Bully Algorithm
 - Stable majority required -> beware of split-brain situations!



- Scope more limited & bandwidth/latency more important
 - static memory or
 - local sockets
 - zeroMQ (https://zeromq.org)
 - Nanomsg/nng + nngpp (https://github.com/nanomsg/nng)
- Transmission scheme
 - HTTP style (POST to or GET from peers)



Inter-Plugin Communication



- Transmission payload
 - Static memory: direct calls into instances -> serialization can be avoided
 - Otherwise: binary blobs -> efficient serialization!
 - protobuf (<u>https://protobuf.dev</u>) or capnproto (https://capnproto.org)

More detail in Janos Buttgereit's talk on Wed, 15:00 in Empire











 \frown BV 4_02 $(\mathbf{1})$ View: Editor 🗘 🔗 Сору Paste Undo Redo smart:EQ 4 🕛 grp BV 4_02 close add instance 191 Impact • BV 1_02 BV 4_02 Keys | Electric 🗩 Bass BV 3_02 ● Ac Gtr_01 Universal ▼ BV 2_02 Speech | Low 🔻 Vocals | High 🔻 $\sim \sim$ group 600 60 70 Mode Hz Impact Width Se 25 0 🗐 + Hz Impact Width Smoothing Adaptive BV 1_02 Classical 🗸 $\sim\sim\sim\sim$ BV 2_02 • BV 2_02 BV 3_02 Drums | Dru... ▼ Ac Gtr_01 Synth | Bass Keys | Acoustic▼ $\sim\sim\sim\sim$ \sim group 600 60 70 25 0 Mode Hz Impact Width Smoothing Adaptive U 🗐 bypass all dissolve group smartEQ4 Inter-Plugin Communication



Limitations



- No Audio Only control data makes sense
 - Synchronicity: DAW channels are not processed in consistent order
 - Latency compensation approach unknown plugin instace
 - Transmission delay has difference effect in realtime and offline rendered situation
 - Sample accuracy not possible. Cannot replace a routed sidechain input



- Unknown processing graph:
 - No knowledge about other effects, rotuing, panning, level in mix
 - Cannot assume exact Node output to end up in sum bus
 - E.g. level matching across channel gets broken by user changing channel fader position



Life would be so much easier if we could:

- Standardize retrieval of processing graph detail
- Standardize comm channels between plugin instances



Yours truthfully,





Thank you! Let's discuss

peter.sciri@sonible.com